IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

KENEXA TECHNOLOGY, INC.,					
Plaintiff	Civil Action No.:				
V,					
TALEO CORPORATION,	Jury Trial Demanded				
Defendant.					

COMPLAINT

The Parties

- 1. Plaintiff, Kenexa Technology, Inc. ("Kenexa") is a Pennsylvania corporation having its corporate headquarters at 650 East Swedesford Road, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087.
- On information and belief, Defendant Taleo Corporation ("Taleo") is a Delaware 2. corporation having a principal place of business at 4140 Dublin Boulevard, Suite 400, Dublin, California 94568.
- This is an action for tortious interference with contractual relations, unfair 3. competition, unfair trade practices, and unjust enrichment.
- This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 4. U.S.C. §1332.
 - 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Taleo, which is a Delaware corporation.

BACKGROUND

In or about April 2008, Kenexa entered into a contract with UCB, Inc. ("UCB") -6. an entity having a place of business at 1950 Lake Park Drive, Smyrna, Georgia 30080 - to provide recruiting services to UCB.

- 7. In the performance of the contract ("Contract") between Kenexa and UCB, certain Kenexa employees ("the Kenexa Employees") would need access to UCB's computer system (the "UCB Computer System").
 - 8. Taleo is aware of the Contract.
- 9. Taleo is aware that Kenexa cannot perform the Contract, unless the Kenexa Employees can access the UCB Computer System.
- 10. Taleo is aware that UCB cannot perform the Contract, unless the Kenexa Employees can access the UCB Computer System.
- Upon information and belief, UCB is a customer of Taleo and the UCB Computer System uses computer software provided to UCB by Taleo.
- Taleo has prevented and is preventing the Kenexa Employees who would need to operate the UCB Computer System in the performance of the Contract from accessing the UCB Computer System, at least by refusing to grant permissions and/or passwords needed for the Kenexa employees to access the UCB Computer System.
- Taleo's conduct in preventing the Kenexa Employees from accessing the UCB Computer System has prevented Kenexa from performing its duties under the Contract.
- 14. Taleo's conduct in preventing the Kenexa Employees from accessing the UCB Computer System has prevented UCB from performing its duties under the Contract.
- 15. On information and belief, Taleo's conduct in preventing the Kenexa Employees from accessing the UCB Computer System has been and continues to be motivated by Taleo's intent to harm Kenexa's business.
- 16. As a consequence of Taleo's conduct described herein, Kenexa has sustained monetary injury in excess of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.

- 17. As a consequence of Taleo's conduct described herein, Kenexa's business has been harmed.
- 18. Taleo is presently engaged in a lawsuit pending in this Judicial District <u>Kenexa BrassRing, Inc. v. Taleo Corporation</u>, C.A. No. 07-521-SLR ("the Patent Infringement Lawsuit") wherein Kenexa's wholly owned subsidiary Kenexa BrassRing, Inc. ("BrassRing") has sued Taleo for Taleo's infringement of BrassRing's patent rights.
- 19. On information and belief, Taleo is and has been refusing to allow the Kenexa Employees to access the UCB Computer System, in order to enhance its negotiation position with regard to the Patent Infringement Lawsuit.
- 20. Before the complaint in the Patent Infringement Lawsuit was filed, Taleo expressed that it would allow employees of Kenexa to have access to at least one computer system of a Taleo customer, which computer system used computer software provided to the customer by Taleo.
- Taleo has made misrepresentations to UCB regarding the reasons for preventing the Kenexa Employees from accessing the UCB Computer System.
- 22. Taleo has misrepresented the potential for injury to the value of Taleo's business, if the Kenexa Employees were permitted to access the UCB Computer System.
- 23. Taleo has also made misrepresentations to UCB regarding Kenexa's responsiveness in addressing the dispute regarding access to the UCB Computer System and regarding Kenexa's willingness to resolve the dispute.
- 24. Taleo's false statements and misrepresentations of fact have harmed Kenexa's business and reputation and have disparaged Kenexa and Kenexa's services.

TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH CONTRACT

- Kenexa repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs. 25.
- As alleged herein, Taleo has been and is knowingly interfering with the Contract. 26.
- 27. Taleo's interference with the Contract has been intentional and improper in motive and/or means.
- 28. Taleo's tortious interference with the Contract is continuing, and is and has been willful, intentional, knowing and malicious.
- Taleo's interference with the Contract has caused and will continue to cause 29. Kenexa to suffer substantial damages.
- 30. Taleo's interference with the Contract has caused and will continue to cause Kenexa to suffer irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law.

UNFAIR COMPETITION

- 31. Kenexa repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs.
- As alleged herein, Taleo has been and is engaging in conduct that constitutes 32. unfair competition.
- Taleo's unfair competition is and has been willful, intentional, knowing and 33. malicious.
- Taleo's unfair competition has caused and will continue to cause Kenexa to suffer 34. substantial damages.
- 35. Taleo's unfair competition has caused and will continue to cause Kenexa to suffer irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law.

UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES

Kenexa repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs. 36.

- 37. As alleged herein, Taleo has been and is engaging in conduct that constitutes unfair trade practices, including unfair trade practices within the meaning of Pennsylvania's Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law, 73 P.S. §§201-1 et seq.
- Taleo has engaged in unfair trade practices willfully, intentionally, knowingly and maliciously.
- 39. Taleo's unfair trade practices have caused and will continue to cause Kenexa to suffer substantial damages.
- 40. Taleo's unfair trade practices have caused and will continue to cause Kenexa to suffer irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law.

UNJUST ENRICHMENT

- 41. Kenexa repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs.
- 42. Taleo has been unjustly enriched, monetarily and/or otherwise, at least by its wrongful conduct described herein.
- 43. As a result of Taleo's unjust enrichment, Kenexa has suffered substantial damages.

RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, Kenexa respectfully requests that this Court:

- 1. Award Kenexa compensatory, unjust enrichment and punitive damages;
- 2. Award Kenexa treble damages, in accord with the Pennsylvania Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law;
- 3. Order Taleo to pay prejudgment and post-judgment interest for all damages awarded;
 - 4. Enjoin Taleo and its affiliates, subsidiaries, officers, directors, employees, agents,

representatives, licensees, successors, assigns, and all those acting for any of them or on their behalf, or acting in concert with them from performing the unlawful conduct described herein;

- 5. Award Kenexa its costs and reasonable attorneys' fees; and
- 6. Award Kenexa such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Kenexa demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

OF COUNSEL:

Matthew B. Lowrie Robert J. Silverman LOWRIE, LANDO & ANASTASI, LLP One Main Street, Eleventh Floor Cambridge, MA 02142 (617) 395-7000 mlowrie@LL-A.com rsilverman@LL-A.com

Dated: June 25, 2008

Frederick L. Cottrell, III (#2555)
Steven J. Fineman (#4025)
Richards, Layton & Finger
One Rodney Square
920 North King Street
P. O. Box 551
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 651-7700
cottrell@rlf.com
fineman@rlf.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Kenexa Technology, Inc.

®JS 44 (Rev 12/07)

RLF1-3296555-1

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provide by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiatin the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

Kenexa Technology, Inc.

- (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Delaware (EXCEPT IN U S PLAINTIFF CASES)
- (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Frederick I. Cottrell, III, Esquire Richards, Layton & Finger One Rodney Square - P O Box 551 Wilmington, DE 19899

DEFENDANTS

Taleo Corporation

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant: (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED

Attorneys (If Known)

Wilmington, DE 1 302-651-7700			Attorneys (It Idiowil)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an °X" in One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF PR	UNCIPAL	L PARTIES(Pla	ice an °X" in Onc	Box fo	r Plaintif
			(For Diversity Cases Only			and One Box		
O I U.S. Government	O 4 Federal Question			PTF DEF			PIF	DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)			01 01	Incorporated or Pri of Business In This	State	04	9 4
O 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	Citizen of Another State O		O 2 O 2 Incorporated and Prof Business In		6 5	O 5
			zen or Subject of a Foreign Country	03 03	Foreign Nation	(O 6	O 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an °X" in One Box Only							
CONTRACT	TORTS	ANAMAN AN	FOREETTURE/PENALTY	. I	NKRUPTCY			LITES
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Response Volument Act (Excl. Veterans) 154 Marine 155 Response Volument Act (Excl. Veterans) 157 Response Volument Act (Excl. Veterans) 158 Response Volument Act (Excl. Veterans) 159 Response Volument Act (Excl. Veterans) 150 Act INJURY (O 362 Personal Injury (O 365 Personal Injury (O 366 Actsets Personal (Injury Product Liability (O 366 Actsets Personal (Injury Product Liability (O 367 Personal Injury (O 368 Actsets Personal (Injury Product Liability (O 368 Actsets Personal (Injury Product (I Liability (O 367 Personal Injury (O 367 Personal Injury (O 368 Actsets Personal (Injury Product (I Liability (O 367 Personal Injury (O 368 Actsets Personal (Injury Product (I Liability (O 368 Actsets Personal (Injury Product (I Liability (I Liabilit	ry - O 6 6 9 - itice O 6 6 9 - ity O 6 6 0 6 0 6 0 6 0 6 0 6 0 6 0 6 0 6 0	O 620 Other Food & Drug O 625 Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC 881 O 630 Liquor Laws O 640 R.R. & Truck O 650 Airline Regs. O 660 Occupational		O 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 O 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS O 820 Copyrights O 830 Patent O 840 Trademark		O 400 State Reapportionment O 410 Antitrust O 430 Banks and Banking O 450 Commerce O 460 Deportation O 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations O 480 Consumer Credit O 490 Cable/Sat TV O 810 Selective Service O 850 Securities/Commodities/		
O 160 Stockholders' Suits O 190 Other Contract	Liability O 350 Motor Vehicle O 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability O 360 Other Personal O 360 Other Personal Injury O 371 Truth in Lend O 380 Other Personal Property Dan O 385 Property Dan Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability O 385 Property Dan O 371 Truth in Lend O 380 Other Personal	oge O 7 rige ty O 7	I ARO 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 30 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 40 Railway Labor Act	O 861 HIA (1395ff) O 862 Black Lung (923) O 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) O 864 SSID Title XVI O 865 RSI (405(g))		Exchange O 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 O 890 Other Statutory Actions O 891 Agricultural Acts O 892 Economic Stabilization Ac O 893 Environmental Matters O 894 Energy Allocation Act		
O 210 Land Condemnation O 220 Foreclosure O 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment O 240 Torts to Land O 245 Tort Product Liability O 290 All Other Real Property	O 441 Voting O 442 Employment O 443 Housing/ Accommodations O 444 Welfare O 445 Amer w/Disabilities- Employment O 446 Amer w/Disabilities- Other O 440 Other Civil Rights	O 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 4 0 4 0	90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act AMIGRATION 62 Naturalization Application 63 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee 65 Other Immigration Actions	O 870 Taxe or D O 871 IRS- 26 US	s (U.S. Plaintiff Acfendant) Third Party SC 7609	O 894 Energy A O 895 Freedom o Act O 900Appeal o Determination Under Eq to Justice O 950 Constitut State State	of Information I Fee The state of the stat	ation ss
V. ORIGIN (Place a	an °X" in One Box Only)				Appo	cal to District		
• 1 Original O 2 Ren	noved from O 3 Remanded from O ate Court Appellate Court	Reopen	(specify)	Litigat	tion	udge from Magi	strate Ju	ıdgment
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Cite the U S Civil Statute under which you ON 28 U.S.C. § 1332	u are filing	(Do not cite jurisdiction:	al statutes u	nless diversity)			
	Brief description of cause:					***************************************	***************************************	***************************************
	Action for unfair competition, unfair tra	ide practice	es and tortious interferer	nce				
VII. REQUESTED IN	O CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION	I NC	DEMAND \$		CHECK YES only		•	t:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23				JURY DEMAND:	• Yes O	No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE SUE L. RC	BINSON	DOCKET NUM	MBER 07-52	!1-SLR			
DATE	SIGNATURE OF June 25, 2008		OF RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	<u>/</u>	<u> </u>			·····	<u>,</u>		
RECEIPT# AN	MOUNT APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	***************************************	MAG JUI	DGE		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required t aw, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the left of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint file the attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use on ne full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving oth name and title.
- (b) County of Residence For each civil case filed, except U. S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time ling. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in his section "(see attachment)".
- L. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F R C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
- nited States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348 Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here
- nited States defendant (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

rederal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and be or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship (4) This refers to suits under 28 U S C 1332, where parties are citizens of different states When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the lifterent parties must be checked (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases)

- I. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above Mark this section reach principal party.
- . Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficie benable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select that a definitive
- 7. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes
- Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts

Removed from State Court (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for moval is granted, check this box

temanded from Appellate Court (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action Use the date of remand as the filing date

teinstated or Reopened (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date

ransferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict itigation transfers.

ultidistrict Litigation (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U S C Section 1407 When this box i hecked, do not check (5) above

appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

- /I. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause Do not cite jurisdictional statutes nless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553

 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- /II. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction

- ry Demand Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded
- /III. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases if any If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers nd the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet